#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 101749 a7se	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/000248	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 January 2003 (15.01.2003) ]			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC <sup>7</sup> A61F 2/06					
Applicant ANGIOMED GMBH & C0. MEDIZINTECHNIK KG					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule $44 \ bis.1(a)$ .				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44 <i>bis</i> .3(c) and 93 <i>bis</i> .1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .2).				

Date of issuance of this report 15 July 2005 (15.07.2005)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY:

		` '			REC'D 1 9 NOV 2004	
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					WIPO PCT	
To:				PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
1	national application l F/EP2004/00024		International filing date (a 15.01.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.01.2003	
1	national Patent Clas F2/06	sification (IPC) or	both national classification a	and IPC		
	icant GIOMED GMBH	& CO. MEDIZ	INTECHNIK KG			
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion			
}	☑ Box No. II	Priority				
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention			
	⊠ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	lication		
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION				inc .		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					owever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the	
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.



3.

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer** 

Smith, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4018



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000248

	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	Wit the	h re lan	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ander Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	Wit nec	h re ess	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:				
	1		a sequence listing		
	ı		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:				
	I		in written format		
	i		in computer readable form		
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	[		contained in the international application as filed.		
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	[		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		
4.	Add	litior	nal comments:		

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000248

_	Pay Na II	Duisuita				
	Box No. II	Priority		<del></del>		
1.	The following document has not been furnished:					
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					
	$\Box$ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).					
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
2.	2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Additional	observations, if neces	sary:			
			•			
	Day No. 1					
	Box No. V industrial	applicability; citatio	nent und ns and e	ler Rule 43 <i>i</i> explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) v is supporti	vith regard to novelty, inventive step or ng such statement
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N	)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43	
	Inventive s	etep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43	
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43	
2.	Citations a	nd explanations				

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

- The following document is referred to in this communication:
  D1: WO 03/002020 A (SALVIAC LIMITED) 9 January 2003 (2003-01-09)
- 2 Document D1, is considered to represent the closest prior art, and shows a transluminal, guidewire advanced, rapid exchange surgical delivery device.

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from this known surgical delivery device in that there are tubular means for defining a guidewire lumen within the distal zone of the device.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as ensuring that the proximal end of the guidewire exits through the proximal exit port of the device when back-loaded into the distal end of the device. This is solved by the differing features as stated above.

- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since the features of claim 1 cannot be derived from the available prior art.
- 2.3 Claims 2-43 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.